

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/645,073	05/13/1996	MAKOTO YOSHIOKA	1046.1133/JD	4943
21171 7	7590 05/19/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/645,073

Applicant(s)

Makoto, Yoshioka et al.

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit **3621**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 24, 2	003			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) none	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s)				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
,	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	application from the International Burea				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) Lightharpoonup The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme		phonty under 35 0.5.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: g8//645,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 02/24/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103
- (a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,613,109) in view of Min (U.S. Pat. No. 5,175,716).

As per claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20-25 Yamauchi substantially discloses a data reproduction that comprises a storage unit for storing element data or namely a CD-ROM (which is seen to read to read as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a period reader reading an <u>effective</u> period stored on an individual self contained computer readable content medium, the content medium indicating the <u>effective</u> period of time during which a content on the content medium can be served);

Application/Control Number: g8//645,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

a comparator for judging data (see., abstract, col 3, lines 5-30). It is noted that Yamauchi fails to

disclose that the judging data (or comparator or generator) is for judging present time that falls within

the <u>effective</u> period time.

However, Min discloses a comparator for comparing the numbers of the tracks based on the a first

time period and second time period [or effective time period] (see., col 4, lines 22-40, col 5, lines

11-30). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify the reproduction data of Yamauchi by including the limitations

detailed above as taught by Min because such modification would detect the number of tracks (or

store data) moved.

5. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable

over Yamauchi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,613,109) in view of Min (U.S. Pat. No. 5,175,716), and further

in view of de Pommery et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,450,535).

As per claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13 and 14 Yamauchi substantially discloses a data reproduction

that comprises a storage unit for storing element data or namely a CD-ROM (which is equivalent to

Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a period reader reading a period stored on an

individual self contained computer readable content medium, the content medium indicating a period

of time during which a content on the content medium can be served);

Application/Control Number: g8//645,073

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

a comparator for judging data (see., abstract, col 3, lines 5-30). It is to be noted that Yamauchi fails

to disclose that the judging data (or comparator or generator) is for judging present time that falls

within the effective period time.

However, Min discloses a comparator for comparing the numbers of the tracks based on the a first

time period and second time period [or effective time period] (see., col 4, lines 22-40, col 5, lines

11-30). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify the reproduction data of Yamauchi by including the limitations

detailed above as taught by Min because such modification would detect the number of tracks (or

store data) moved.

Yamauchi and Min fail to disclose a locked content for locking area of the medium. However, de

Pommery discloses a method/system for distribution of articles or services, wherein a LOCKF area

for validating the content of the creation memory (see., col 6, lines 42-55). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

teachings of Yamauchi and Min by including the locking content of de Pommery because such

modification would provide prevent access to the medium.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 02/24/2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Application/Control Number: g8//645,073

Art Unit: 3621

REMARKS

Page 5

7. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record do not

teach or suggest alone or in combination: "time-based access to data, i.e., only allowing access to

data for specific time period". Based upon the foregoing rejection indicated above, it is believed that

Min discloses in col 4, lines 22-40, col 5, lines 11-30 that converting the detected time to the number

of tracks after detecting time required to move current position of the compact disk, and obtaining

a value of time from the practical number of moving tracks by means of comparing the numbers of

tracks. Please note that the processed of obtaining a value of time is readable as permitting or

allowing access to data for a time period.

CONCLUSION

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre

Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from

6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3621

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

May 15, 2003